Document 38, Public Comment Hearing, February 22, 2000, Portland, OR Page 20 of 20

1	real threat is so we can inform the community so
2	they can make an informed consent under the U.N.
3	Declaration on Human Rights because to be
4	unnecessarily exposed would under our
5	Constitution supremacy vote violate the
6	Declaration on Human Rights, that right to life
7	and having it arbitrarily taken away and also
8	the rights under due process of the
9	Constitution. They would Fourth and Fifth

Amendment Rights, they would not arbitrarily be

Page 57

deprived of their life and property -- be dispossessed of that without any kind of due

process of the law such as an arrest and 13

invitement to trial and a conviction, which is

usually the grounds in our society for taking 15

away things from people, you know, under our 16

Constitution, our rule of law. 17

So, that's basically all I have to 18

19 say.

10

11

20 PETER RICHARDSON: Thank you.

ED MARTISZUS: Thanks a lot. 21

22 PETER RICHARDSON: Thank you, sir.

23 I'll ask the question again. If

anyone in the audience who has not yet had an 24

opportunity to comment would like to do so, 25

TEACH REPORTING (503) 248-1003 *** (800) 230-3302

Document 39, Hanford Advisory Board (Merilyn Reeves), Richland, WA Page 1 of 2

HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

HLW & FD

EIS PROJECT - (AR) PF Control # DC 39

MAR 13 2000

Advising: March 7, 2000 US Dent of Energy

US Environmental Protection Agency Washington State Dept

CHAIR: Merilyn B. Reeves

Mr. Thomas L. Wichmann Document Manager

U.S. DOE, Idaho Operations Office 850 Energy Drive; Mail Stop 1108 Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1563

Ken Bracken Shelley Cimon

Subject: INEEL High-Level Waste Draft EIS

BOARD MEMBERS:

Dear Mr. Wichmann:

Labor/Work Force Richard Berglund Madeleine Brown Thomas Carpenter Susan Leckband Thomas Schaffer

Some members of the Hanford Advisory Board (HAB) attended the February 3 presentation conducted by staff of the U.S. Department of Energy on the Idaho High-Level Waste and Facilities Disposition Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). On behalf of the HAB, we are submitting the following statement to be considered by DOE.

Local Environment Victor Moore Local Governmen Ken Bracken
Pam Brown
Charles Kilbury
Robert Larson
Gary Miller
Jerry Peltier
Jack Yorgesen

The HAB is not prepared at this time to provide specific comments on the EIS. The Hanford vitrification plant has not been constructed and thus will not be available for several years. In addition, when it becomes operational, it will take many years to vitrify Hanford tank wastes. Thus, it would be premature at this time for us to comment on the EIS alternative that would send INEEL high-level wastes to Hanford for vitrification.

Tribal Government Donna Powaukee

Public Health Margery Swint Jim Trombold University

39-1 11.E(6) James A. Cochran Tim Takaro

Public-at-Large Merilyn B. Reeves Gordon Rogers Leon Swenson Regional Environment/Citizen Mark Beck Greg deBruler Paige Knight Gerald Pollet Elizabeth Tabbutt

State of Oregon Shelley Cimor Ken Niles Ex-Officio the Umatilla Washington State Department of Health In Advice #13 and subsequent pieces of advice, we have stated that if another site sends waste to Hanford for treatment, it should not be sent until a treatment facility is built and operating. Once treated, the waste must be returned to the sending site.

However, three consistent positions of our Board relate to the issue.

39-5 11.E(2) (We cannot support Idaho's waste coming to Hanford until all of Hanford's high-level waste has been treated. We emphasized in our recent statement on tank wastes that the Hanford tanks are one of the most urgent environmental threats to the country. We have three types of tanks: those that have leaked, those that will leak, and those

[1.8 (5) that will leak again. The single-shell tanks are already beyond their design life and the double-shell tanks will reach that point before the vitrification process is completed. Vitrification of these wastes must proceed expeditiously and be completed before a major accident occurs with the aging tanks.

We have indicated in several pieces of advice that if any wastes come to Hanford for treatment or disposition "the sending site should cover all costs." The Hanford budget is not adequate to cover even the costs of our own cleanup efforts in

39-6 11.E(3)

Envirolssues - Facilitation Phone: (208) 289-5041 Fax: (208) 269-5048

20000-004 March 6, 2000

Page 1

723 The Parkway, Suite 200, Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 943-5319 Fax: (509) 943-5528

Page 1 of 3

STATE OF NEVADA

HLW & FD

Document 40, Nevada Department of Administration (Heather Elliott), Carson City, NV

209 E. Musser Street, Room 200 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4298 Fax (775) 684-0260 (775) 684-0222

March 10, 2000

Mr. Thomas L. Wichmann Idaho HLW&FD EIS Project Manager DOE. Idaho Operations Office 850 Energy Drive Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1563

SAI NV # E2000-086

Project: Idaho High-Level Waste and Facilities Disposition DEIS

Dear Mr. Wichmann:

Enclosed are the comments from the Nevada Division of Water Resources and Department of Transportation concerning the above referenced report. These comments constitute the State Clearinghouse review of this proposal as per Executive Order 12372. Please address these comments or concerns in your final decision. If you have questions, please contact me at 684-0209.

Westher K Ellett

Heather K. Elliott Nevada State Clearinghouse/SPOC

Document 39, Hanford Advisory Board (Merilyn Reeves), Richland, WA Page 2 of 2

> accordance with our Tri-Party Agreement and regulatory requirements. The impact of offsite wastes on the inadequate budget of Hanford and the environmental impacts of any diversion of Hanford cleanup funds must be factored into decisions on offsite wastes and should be thoroughly analyzed in this EIS. The Hanford cleanup dollars should not be used to subsidize the receipt, treatment, and/or storage of offsite

39-4 We would appreciate being consulted as this process continues forward, particularly when a VII. Appreferred alternative or other decisions are being considered which might impact Hanford.

(6)

Very truly yours, Meilyn Bleeve

Merilyn B. Reeves, Chair Hanford Advisory Board

Keith Klein, Manager, DOE-RL

Dick French, Manager, DOE-ORP

Tom Fitzsimmons, Director, Washington Department of Ecology

Chuck Clarke, Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Wade Ballard, Acting Designated Federal Official The Oregon and Washington Congressional Delegations

Michael Gearheard, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Dan Silver, Washington Department of Ecology

20000-004 Page 2 March 6, 2000 ldaho HLW & FD EIS

New Information